



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,866	12/03/2004	Andreas Witzel	P17157US1	2414
27045 ERICSSON IN	7590 03/08/2007 C.	EXAM	EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY	DRIVE		KARIKARI, KWASI	
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2617	4
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,866	WITZEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kwasi Karikari	2617	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFI a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the projects.	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FIL TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, ever may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered bec	201100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	Jause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the appeal; and/or	e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (P	'TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen non-allowable claim(s). 	_
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an exhow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, we entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attache	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	м.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowand See Continuation Sheet.	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. 🗆 Other:	/
JOSÉPH FEILD	
SUPERVISORY PATENT EX	AMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Response to Arguments

In response to Applicant's argument that the Ejzak's reference fails to teach non-layered environment and an operation to determine an access type, the Examiner disagrees with such proceeding assertions. Ejzak teaches a Mobile Switching Center (i.e., a node for switching processes) as well as a communication system that provides services with separating software or handware for circuit-switched or packet switched communication system. Ejzak further discloses a multiple air interface standard including GSM access network, which is being associated with the non-layered environment (see Pars. 0007, 0020,0025-26).

In view of the above remarks, the rejections using Ejzak are proper and maintained as set forth in the Final action and the Examiner will require further search for reconsideration.